

This claim is in a deleted branch

Jump to first non-deleted claim in this branch

This claim is grey because a claim above it has been deleted (check the tree). When a claim is deleted all the claims underneath it also get deleted. If you want to acknowledge all the changes in this branch, please [Mark Branch Seen](#).

What is the best economic path for **post-scarcity** in the future?

Digitized capitalism (i.e. capitalism with no physical money).

|      |   |      |   |
|------|---|------|---|
| Pros | + | Cons | - |
|------|---|------|---|



My Kialo

Kialo Release Notes

Help Center

Explore

Careers

Contact Us

Search

Privacy Policy

Status

Tour

Terms of Service

@Parlanto @philip I'm not sure if MMT is an economic system. I also don't know where guaranteed jobs fit (socialism)? Thoughts?

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto @philip maybe mmt belongs under capitalism?

You (0.0h) · 2y

@wglrflife AFAIK MMT is related to Keynes and therefore capitalism theory. But I am no expert here

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto we should research more then and discuss our findings

You (0.0h)

2y · edited 2y

@wglrflife CC

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

to begin with [@\\_stefanleibler.org](#)

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto I think this is a good idea where we look into each of the models we don't know about, discuss it, and then write about it in the discussion. Now that the discussion's built, it just needs growth. Maybe we can add more economic models I don't have on hand or something. What should we do about the economies with a high discussion on them already like gaorgam? What would their proposals look like?

You (0.0h)

2y · edited 2y

@Parlanto also, if we have too many models for these, should they be categorized, like government required and self-sustaining categories?

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto so I looked up MMT, and it's a currency (it's fiat money), not economic system. That means any system (doesn't have to be capitalism, could be socialism) could have fiat money. [@\\_stefanleibler.org](#) compares it to gold, which was another currency. It's like when they used salt for currency. We could use it as capitalism if probably wouldn't work, we just don't have to. It's like playing a card game. The cards (like currency) could be different (jumbo, spongoboo themed...), but the game (aka economic system) itself is not.

You (0.0h)

2y · edited 2y

@Parlanto this means I should remove it or maybe add it under capitalism if it helps it out

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto searching further, I found this [@\\_stefanleibler.org](#). So should I change the discussion's title to economic systems/ideologies?

You (0.0h)

2y · edited 2y

Or simply "economic policies" (or measures)? I think most of our options are concepts within an economic system (capitalism or socialism). I'd share the corresponding two theses. Instead of that, perhaps we could say "no governmental intervention"

Parlanto (0.2h)

2y · edited 2y

At least we have to watch out a bit not to mix up which economic systems with new policies, such as the UBI. [@wglrflife](#)

Parlanto (0.2h)

2y · edited 2y

@Parlanto @wglrflife hey thanks for the input! Agree that MMT isn't a system per se although it does relate strongly to policies that are premised on one amount of government spending

philip (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto @philip thanks for the feedback. I really think we need to find a way (like a tag) that encompasses everything from capitalism to UBI. I'd like what that, but we'll get there. I plan on setting up the discussion before inviting everyone. I don't think "policy" is the right word, see here (there's no examples) [@\\_stefanleibler.org](#)

You (0.0h) · 2y

so since capitalism is a system and UBI is a model (what is the difference, the fact that one exists and another doesn't?), maybe the tag should be "system/model"?

You (0.0h) · 2y

I looked up economic system here: [@\\_stefanleibler.org](#) and found a bunch. Looks like what @Parlanto said about it being either capitalism, socialism, or joblessness. But that's not the issue of placing the other concepts here, like guaranteed jobs.

You (0.0h) · 2y

"so since capitalism is a system and UBI is a model (what is the difference, the fact that one exists and another doesn't?). (Wait, the difference is that a UBI is merely a "measure" within a given economic system, and no economic system by itself). Therefore, it's a hard to compare them directly I'd say.

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@wglrflife

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto I guess I'm getting at whether these economic models fit into the economic system or if they stand alone like a system. I think a UBI and guaranteed jobs maybe keep systems like capitalism going, so that's not good to compare. Since this discussion started about an OCE, should this discussion be solely about it or should it have other models? If it has others, what would the discussion be named?

You (0.0h) · 2y

I'd suggest we keep it simple but straight, eg "What is the best program to improve (assist) "breadth" (possibilities) capitalism/existing economic systems?" Then we can list UBI, OCE, etc. I think our premises should be that these programs can/should be added and not entirely replace an economic system. [@wglrflife](#)

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto I don't see how your title works (I wouldn't know how to be an admin in 0, but I see where you're headed. Like, what is economic needed to accommodate for post-scarcity. Then we could say get rid of capitalism, while also adding having an OCE. That would allow for both to be in the same discussion then. It also allows for voting, as some ideas will be better than others

You (0.0h)

2y · edited 2y

[@wglrflife](#) This might work, "get rid of capitalism in the hypothesis?"

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto right, I guess now that we figured out the title and claims, we should work on the intro. How does it look and should we make changes to it?

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto Then after we fix the discussion's description, we'll talk one final look at the claims (maybe you can add some of your own if you'd like, so it doesn't look like in the only person in this discussion) and then it'll be ready for the public

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Lunakana would you like to join this discussion?

You (0.0h) · 2y

[@wglrflife](#) I think the brief intro is fine, in particular as the individual models are supported with links/references. Perhaps we should clarify "keep what we have" as different countries run different eco. models. Eg compare the USA and Germany - very different approaches of market economy.

Parlanto (0.2h)

2y · edited 2y

@Parlanto good point, I reworded it. However, I'm not sure how to go about comparing countries. Could you help me more with that?

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto this discussion got pretty cleaned up with your help. Do you believe it's ready now for the masses, or does it need more adjustments first (I only see 1)

You (0.0h) · 2y

[@wglrflife](#) No worries :) Sure, let us invite others. I think this would be productive!

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto I think I wrote the last message too soon, as I forgot one last part of the discussion that would make it complete - voting! Let's vote on whatever we can to make it look nice and then we'll reevaluate mutations :)

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto I also thought about it and added more to the meaning of post-scarcity in an intro section (my two conditions that I could think of)

You (0.0h) · 2y

@wglrflife CC

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto thank you for the squares lol. I think this discussion looks great now and will agree to add people now. Great teamwork!

You (0.0h) · 2y

@Parlanto I'm bringing them in from the UBI discussion. Feel free to help bring in others or whomever I missed!

You (0.0h) · 2y

[@wglrflife](#) Anyone :) I am still quite committed in other debates. When I have more time, I gladly start debating here intensively!

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

@Parlanto sweet! Can't wait to see it all. It's a pretty nice discussion we created, pretty proud right now <3

You (0.0h) · 2y

@wglrflife :)

Parlanto (0.2h) · 2y

Chat about this discussion...