



Libraries should stop having a physical presence and instead only exist digitally (i.e. online).

Show older... Show Comments

**Created**  
Should physical libraries become digital ones?

**Edited**  
Should libraries stop having a physical presence and instead only exist digitally (i.e. online)?

Reason: "Clarified clarity"

Why other replies provide a bit of context in the discussion. That is all that has, but? If you want, I could think of something.

superherohero -2y  
@superherohero good idea. I made you admin, so you can edit your posts and anything else in Q.

Message has been deleted  
1 - answered Mar 20

@superherohero Sure, I'll think of something!

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero How about this:

Clear title, the library has evolved from being a physical space that contains a collection of volumes of information and access resources to being an online platform of information and knowledge. The purpose of a library is to facilitate the transfer of information and learning. The question that becomes which platform facilitates the purpose better: a physical library or a digital library?

Proponents of digital libraries argue that digital books are convenient because they are portable and accessible from anywhere. They also argue that digital books are more cost-effective than physical books. Furthermore, digital books are more accessible to people with disabilities. Digital books also offer a wider range of content, such as audiobooks, e-readers, and interactive content. Lastly, the privacy of users' reading habits is preserved.

Opponents claim that it is likely to be more convenient if the library's website is down and copyright issues over areas of shared books will not be able to make public. Furthermore, physical libraries are an irreplaceable source of information since they don't suffer from physical limitations, such as a shortage of titles.

Opponents of digital libraries, on the other hand, point to potential inconveniences, such as technical issues that might prevent readers from being able to access digital books. In addition, they worry about copyright that might prevent readers from being able to read digital books. In addition, they worry about the privacy of users.

The question about whether libraries should remain physical institutions or become fully digital ones is a complex one. Each has its own strengths and weaknesses.

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero I'm glad, but the full sentence doesn't make sense. A library hasn't fully digitized yet. Also, the old and old programs are not going. I had difficulties reading through them, so I'd like others will too.

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero Ok, second attempt.

Many libraries are currently evolving and beginning to offer many of their services online. It is possible that they may copy but also online. At the same time, the presence of a library means to have access to other resources and information and to promote reading and learning. The question that becomes, which platform fulfills the purpose better: a physical library or a digital library?

Proponents of digital libraries argue that digital books are convenient because they are portable and accessible from anywhere. They also argue that digital books are more cost-effective than physical books. Furthermore, digital books are more accessible to people with disabilities. Digital books also offer a wider range of content, such as audiobooks, e-readers, and interactive content. Lastly, the privacy of users' reading habits is preserved.

Opponents claim that it is likely to be more convenient if the library's website is down and copyright issues over areas of shared books will not be able to make public. Furthermore, physical libraries are an irreplaceable source of information since they don't suffer from physical limitations, such as a shortage of titles.

Opponents of digital libraries, on the other hand, point to potential inconveniences, such as technical issues that might prevent readers from being able to access digital books. In addition, they worry about copyright that might prevent readers from being able to read digital books. In addition, they worry about the privacy of users.

The question about whether libraries should remain physical institutions or become fully digital ones is a complex one. Each has its own strengths and weaknesses.

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero Here's my version for paragraph 2. Proponents of digital libraries argue that they are convenient, since they are portable, accessible virtually anywhere, and accessible anytime. They worry about the library. Furthermore, they don't suffer from physical limitations, such as a shortage of titles or books. They worry about the library's website, and also they worry about the library's website.

Paragraph 3, similarly. Opponents of digital libraries point to potential inconveniences, such as primary content and technical difficulties that might prevent users from accessing digital books. Plus, copyright prevent readers from becoming digital books.

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero That sounds good to me. Do you just want to edit and not the entire title text?

herohero ok

@herohero Done. I also changed the whole writing but, so feel free to vote

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero Thank!

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero Would it change the details picture? The pictures look like a Word document.

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero If you have any ideas or think you should change it or just I have to see if it's the end. In the end, it's a collaborative document. So if you have any ideas, you don't really need my permission. I just need to know if you have any idea. If you have any question, feel free to ask.

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero How about the picture, just added?

superherohero (P) -2y

I think I kind of don't like it because it's missing a digital element like a person or the laptop opening the books around them, but I could see how it could attract new people. So I'll be happy to see the picture later. Maybe try again?

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero How about [this](#)?

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero I like it if it's just the phone, like [this](#) and [this](#). The computer screen is a bit blurry. [this](#) and [this](#) are also good. What do you think?

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero Are these actually free for cash? The one I liked did not need actual attention.

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero It's in the public domain, so it should be free for use. I'm not sure, but I think it's a bit better if the picture's free or not, so it's for people who are interested. If there's a worry, we have the free ones in the comments and can remove it if it causes issues. Libraries are a great resource for people. I think it's a great idea. I'm not sure. The picture is a bit blurry. I think it's a bit better. I think it should be used.

superherohero (P) -2y

@superherohero I'll go with the second to last one then.

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero It's a compromise

superherohero (P) -2y

@herohero How does it look?

superherohero (P) -2y

Why do you feel that a library should be available only in digital form?

herohero

I think it's the question of how - whether it should be available. This is just a question, as a comment from where we start the discussion. If you look at the other replies, you'll find a balance of pros and cons on both sides. :-)

superherohero (P) -2y

Write a comment...

Pro

Con

There'd be a lower chance of theft and destruction from it.

Digital books have more interactive capabilities.

Digital libraries would be more eco-friendly than physical libraries.

Digital books are very portable.

Digital books can be loaned to multiple people simultaneously. Physical books, on the other hand, can be loaned to only one person at a time.

Digital books are easier to search within for specific words or numbers) than physical books

Libraries are getting a lot of budget cuts, so people will be able to access the libraries in a different place instead of not at all if their budgets get to a point where the libraries close.

Obtaining information would be easier for those who cannot physically access libraries.

There may be behaviors to preserve exclusivity of information in books, which may negatively affect the audience cause a loss of information to humanity/the public about them.

There would be a loss of valueables.

Physical books are always present, advertising themselves to the reader. Digital books are only equipp in computers that have a higher chance of being forgotten.

Digitized books or not, both are acceptable and wanted, depends on the person's preference. So both should be available for a person's use, with physical books are no longer sought.

People are limited to their mind's limitations (library, imagination) in a digital library, whereas they get exposed to ideas outside of their world in a physical library.

Print copies are physiologically better for our bodies than e-books.

Unsupported

Libraries act as equal access resources to the public, regardless of income.

Not a comment

if COVID-19 issues would arise


Books will no longer be a hard copy, so if the internet or the library's website goes down or loses the online copy (aka the book is no longer on the internet or is really), then there is no way to get it back.

People will still go to physical libraries as a public space, not just for books.

Many libraries are cultural icons and historical landmarks, so there would be lost culturally among other methods if they go away (due to a decline in use).

if piracy is a major concern for library goers.


Related Discussions



Should I use my own domain for my private email address?

19/88 7/28 1/16 12/14 10/5/20


everyleaf wrote a suggestion 10



The Ethics of Eating Animals: Is Eating Meat Wrong?

16/174 1/14/20 1/17/20 1/20/20 10/10/20

steep commented on a post 210



Should Google Censor Their Search Results in Order To Operate in China?

19/361 1/18 1/18 10/18 10/6/20

long\_new wrote a suggestion 11