46

All humans should be vegan.

Pros

8d ago
Seagull12 (3) Invite

SUGGESTED PRO 1

Slaughtering animals for our consumption is inhumane. We need to have empathy for the animals. They're put in cages unable to see the sun and then killed through gas chambers and the removal of the head. ~~It lacks nutrients? Solution: Cultured meat.~~

Accept Reply

4

If all humans were vegan, we would be more able to feed the population as it is and as it grows. Food grown for meat production would go to the people, as would water.

20

A vegan approach to the world tends to support the rights of humans, as well as benefiting animals.

18

A vegan society would be better for the environment.

9

The meat production industry is itself unethical.

28

Veganism as a worldwide behavioral public health intervention strategy improves community/population health outcomes.

30

If all humans were vegan, animals would not be killed or made to suffer needlessly, which is the moral thing to do.

47

A world of veganism would be a more ethical world: its morals would bring benefits to human society.

40

People can make minimal, practically unnoticeable vegan substitutions to their non-vegan lifestyle; so they won't notice enough to worry or miss out on its favorable aspects.

52

A vegan diet is healthier for humans.

23

People can make vegan substitutions of non-vegan consumer products. These changes can be so minimal that they are unnoticeable. That way, people will not miss out on aspects of their previous lifestyle.

Unrelated
"just marking this claim to go back to later"

3

The human body is designed for a herbivorous diet (1, 2, 3): teeth, jaw movability, digestive colon & saliva properties

7

There are no need to use animals, as we are at a higher level technologically.

58

Individuals who follow a vegan lifestyle are better off than those who don't.

3

If humanity turned vegan or vegetarian world hunger could be eradicated.

3

Red meats are linked to certain types of cancers which become very costly from a medical standpoint. According to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), cancer related treatment cost the U.S. $80.2 billion in 2015 alone.

2

The pyramid of biomass makes sense, as eating an herbivore takes three extra, measurable steps: Firstly, the plants' chemical energy has been digested into usable form. Secondly, some energy is used by the animal. Finally, the energy is transformed/stored within the animal (which we would then eat).

By not consuming animal products we are not consuming the fear, stress and hormones that these creatures hold. There by potentially increasing positive health.

Veganism will be feasible for everyone to adopt once it is normalized and made accessible for everyone.

1

Veganism is ideal for space travel, exploring other planets, and growing civilizations through colonization in the long-term, as it optimizes better with technologies, like hydroponics, than animal products do.

9

Veganism is a total liberation attitude. Not depending/relying on animals (human or non-human) for survival/existence is freeing to a person, because that level of independence allows people to have greater freedom (and sense of it).

1

We cannot achieve peace, if we are not peaceful. Murdering animals is not an act of peace. So long as we treat animals as if their lives do not matter, we will not be at peace. Veganism helps the world become peaceful.

Teaching children empathy for animals will likely create a more empathetic and kinder society. There is a strong correlation between cruel behavior toward animals and lack of empathy for human beings.

1

If the majority of humans became vegan, science would focus on creating more and better vegan food, which would convince the other part of humanity to also become vegan.

Veganism promotes other healthier life choices which can impact diabetes, heart disease, arthritis, cancer, and more, for the positive.

3

Cons

2d ago
Stephanrg (1) Invite

SUGGESTED CON

Eating meat enabled the survival and evolution of some species that they alone could not.

Accept Reply

2d ago
JaysonW (8) Invite

SUGGESTED CON

Other countries don't have The supplies or farmland that is suitable enough for a vegan diet. Lots of countries rely on livestock more than they do on farmland.

Accept Reply

14d ago
Synesthesia (25) Invite

SUGGESTED CON 2

Veganism itself isn't good, it's concern for sentient beings and the environment that is the underlying good, and this can be achieved by other means

Accept Reply

29d ago
MakeAmericaThinkAgain (19) Invite

SUGGESTED CON 6

In order for people to become vegans, they will have let their dogs and cats starve to death.

Accept Reply

Veganism still may negatively impact animals and cause them harm.

8

A vegan diet is less sustainable.

44

Veganism is not feasible for less privileged societies or individuals.

22

A vegan diet lacks specific essential nutrients.

64

An individual should be free to choose any food they want to eat (without interference).

14

The definition of veganism used in this discussion is arbitrary and has no end, as it asks adherents to reduce the exploitation of animals as much as possible. This makes the cutoffs (of what veganism is/is not) difficult to define and thus hard to follow.

2

We evolved to eat meat and our body processes meat a lot better than vegetables or other fats, which makes us sick

13

Countries would be less self sufficient, and thus more vulnerable to global market and diplomatic volatility, in a vegan society because they would depend more on imports.

6

Humanity becoming vegan does not resolve all the issues it tries to fix.

9

A vegan world is not possible. Vegan food is sometimes prepared using indirectly animal-derived products. Even if all products are animal-free, they might be produced using other tools that derive from animals and so on. You are never 100% vegan. Even just by living in this society, using its benefits, you are living off the usage of animals. The only way to be totally free is to live in nature.

2

Veganism is too hard for people to follow and adopt, making a vegan world nearly impossible.

10

To date, no (entirely) vegan civilizations have been able to sustain themselves across a long period of time.

10

A vegan society would be worse for the environment washingtonpost.com

Unsupported
"As per this claim - just flagging to get everyone's input :) The actual content on this..."

7

Veganism is incompatible with some cultural beliefs and practices.

9

We evolved into being omnivores, so we can't change, because it's ingrained into our nature.

23

Global adoption of the vegan diet would restrict the growth of human civilization.

3

Being vegan would limit people's opportunities for experiences important to one's development and life.

For a vegan diet more animals have to die than for a carnivore diet. Agriculture is by definition the killing of any living being on a piece of land to grow just one plant.

Unsupported

2

Veganism kills more animals than it saves.

9

A vegan diet has been shown to lower fertility, making a task of reproduction (one of the key functions of any living organism) more difficult to achieve. Therefore, it cannot be an optimal, or even healthy, diet for humans as species.

Compassion is the highest form of living. Through a vegan lifestyle, we can align our actions with our morals to elevate our selves and existence. We can be better off than we are now, increasing the collective well-being of society.

2

While it is hard to follow at first and takes a while to adjust, once one starts to notice feeling better from it, it will get easier.

1

Being vegan is the least we can do to leave the world a better place than it was when we got here.

8

Veganism, unlike what most people believe, is super easy to adopt and absolutely feasible.

24

A vegan diet may not reverse heart disease (especially if it's all junk food), but a modified vegan diet has scientifically been proven to treat and reverse coronary artery disease (CAD).

1

All humans should be vegan because it should reduce modern cannibalism (1, 2).

Even though veganism is increasing rapidly in multiple countries, the demand for meat worldwide is expected to go up too as the population grows. All humans to being vegan would prevent this trend from happening before overpopulation effects due to eating meat cause the world population to peak and then decline.

1

Currently the rate of raising lifestock on more and more land is not sustainable but more people could be fed in a sustainable fashion if the land used for raising life stock were instead used for growing nutrient dense edible plants.

7

If every meat eater was sent to do just 1 days work in an abattoir 95% of them would stop eating meat.

Unsupported
"needs evidence to support the 95% part"

A vegan diet contains pretty much all of the nutrients required, the small few (if not already provided) can be easily supplemented.

18

Being vegan does not have to be a yes or no decision. "All humanity should be vegan" is more like a goal that cannot be reached in the near future like e.g. "All humans should solve their conflicts without the use of force". Everybody can and should try to consume more vegan today than yesterday.

Unclear
"See comments, marking for wider input :)"

9

People's wellbeing is improved by leading a vegan lifestyle.

48

The vegan lifestyle is better for the people who follow it - copy

14

Growing and producing meat consumes more fossil fuel energy than plant-based food.

18

The meat industry contributes to global warming.

10

A vegan diet ~~takes~~requires a lot less time to consume healthy and delicious food. The convenience would help more people to stick to a healthy diet.

4

The plant has collective soul but the animal has individual soul.

Not a claim
"Does not relate to the discussion about why people should go vegan."

22

Veganism allows us to fulfill our moral obligation (in what humans agree is immoral) through refraining from supporting animal food and entertainment industries (due to their immoral practices).

Veganism is more economically feasible for less privileged societies than feeding, raising, butchering, and refrigerating livestock.

If we don't feed animals to then kill them or exploit for milk and eggs we can use the same amount of cereals and water to feed and give to people that are starving in the world.

2

Veganism is a moral imperative. Since nonhuman animals are sentient, can suffer, and have a will to live, critics of animal rights would have to explain why those traits are not sufficient to grant animals such rights given they are the basis for moral treatment of fellow humans. Critics need to name what trait humans have that other animals don't have that justify such differential treatment, such that a human lacking this trait can be killed for food without it being immoral.

3

Vegan and vegetarian diets have been associated with a lower risk of many chronic diseases, including heart disease, cancer and type two diabetes. Lowering chronic disease burden would have a net positive impact on society (by decreasing health care spending for instance) while benefiting individuals.

7

The agricultural industry is dangerous as there is political corruption leading to environmental defenders and activists being regularly killed.

1

Meat industry produces more pollution than all transportation and power plants combined.

8

When most humans eat animals they do not consider the death that was required for their meal. If people had to consider the actual consequences of their diet far more would be vegan.

9

A study comparing a healthy diet that included meat, and a healthy diet that did not, found that the non-meat diet saved over $700 a year.

2

Changing diet is not hard for humans, since we are the most adaptable species on the planet.

1

Because humans can thrive on a well planned vegan diet, there is no necessity for eating animal products. Lack of necessity makes the animal exploitation unethical.

11

Although the idea of everyone following a vegan diet is apparently ludicrous, it has been explored before, in the BBC Docu-comedy Carnage, showing how a society could function with veganism.

18

If humans stopped treating animals as commodities (like being objectified for consumption), they would less likely utilize dehumanization as a justification for making decisions.

9

Just proclaiming everyone being vegan would get a lot of people to agree, but that's woefully under-specified as to how exactly that would be achieved. Without a direction on how to proceed and make worldwide veganism actually possible, it'll be difficult to realistically accomplish.

16

Our diet consisting of meat helps keep the animal population under control. The regulatory symbiosis we maintain via these carnivorous eating habits allow us to prevent the causation of major problems that would come with an exponentially increasing animal population. For example, with the restricted growth of animal populations in the world, there wouldn't be excess waste produced, such as CO2, methane, and animal excrement, which all would've had major detriments on our overall health.

Move elsewhere

8

If we are to ascribe moral blame to our consumptive practices, it seems strange to unduly focus on one of those particular practices when so many others are so much more harmful and require a less fundamental restructuring of society to address.

Move elsewhere
"Temporary"

2

Communities that live in inhospitable growing environments (like the Inuit and Yupik (Eskimos) near the poles) would likely starve.

2

Some people have dietary restrictions like oral allergy syndrome, where they can't eat a host of produce at all.

3

Growing such large amount of crops for vegans would deplete the soil, so we would need more chemical fertilisers for that.

28

Converting to veganism is like converting to a religion. Its strict adherence requirements to its beliefs and practices would be deemed too extreme by some.

More than one claim
"need to remove the pros underneath. Bookmarking for reference."

11

There are many psychopaths that can never go vegan because they don't have any compassion and, hence, don't care about the morality of veganism.

4

Vegan consumers who do not grow their own vegan food often put more money in the pockets of corporate crop farms such as Monsanto and Chiquita (formerly the United Fruit Company), who interfere with the lives of developing world indigenous farmers by patenting their crops and abusing labour rights.

Too many food industries would go out of business, causing lots of people to lose their jobs.

11

People need to be taught how to think and not what to think. If everyone went vegan due to their setting (say because the infrastructure prevents non-vegan living from existing) rather than their own will (because the original people who built the vegan world are gone and only their descendants are left over), then they won't have a vegan mindset. Only when they're educated enough to come to their own conclusions would they actually be vegan.

1

While humans are capable of living on a vegan diet, we have many domesticated animals and pets who are not. We need to keep some production active for the sake of feeding dogs, cats and various zoo animals.

1

Meat tastes good.

Not a claim
"see comments"

1

Logically speaking, all humans cannot be vegan because societies and corporations use millions of acres of land to process animal meat. These corporations run the government of many countries they have more power and influence onto morality than a small group of individuals.

Unsupported
"needs support for the claim"

1

Breastfeeding is best for babies. Human babies should not be expected to go vegan. It would be cruel, unnatural, and nutritionally quite sub-optimal.

No human should be denied the experience of feeling like an apex predator after feasting on the flesh of a conquered beast.

"Thou shalt not eat meat" is not one of the 10 commandments.

Becoming vegan is not the necessary solution to the environmental problems caused by feeding humans. Simply turning vegan is often presented as a simple solution to the environmental issues, but If humanity was to go vegan without addressing a culture of excess, greed, waste and instant gratification, the environmental damage will continue and unforeseen consequences will occur.

1

Veganism is a choice and should not be forced on children until they are old enough to decide for themselves.

10

It is a personal choice to choose the food someone eats, as morality is personal and people are free to decide what is the right and wrong food after listening to their conscience. To uphold an ethic, that freedom of choice of a human may never be allowed to be infringed upon.

1

The lack of crop diversity in the food marketplace could lead to serious food shortages due to crop failure, which could further lead to malnutrition and ultimately plagues.

4

Omnivores do not have the struggle to supplement their diet everyday. People will not want to have this extra step/hardship when they go vegan, just to survive/thrive on it.

2

Research suggests that herding livestock in the right way could help deserts to become greener, increase food production, and (partially) reverse climate change. The ideas presented by Allan Savory in "How to green the world's deserts and reverse climate change" are brilliant and should not be discarded. The conclusion points out that a non-vegan diet can be sustainable too. So worldwide veganism is not the only dietary option to benefit the environment, as others can help out too.

8

Ethics won't work for making everyone vegan, because people are driven by money. Economics is so heavily ingrained with humans that it impacts their daily lives, and a change like worldwide veganism would be so large that the economic changes would be unethical.

2

A stated goal of veganism is to reduce animal suffering. However, "suffering" is too vaguely defined.

4

We wouldn't like to be enslaved or killed by a more advanced alien civilization or a much more biologically complex alien species. So we shouldn't do this to animals.

24

Any strict restriction of diet is unhealthy. A strict vegan diet can be harmful for humans long-term.

Duplicate claim
"I believe this is now a functional duplicate of A restrictive diet has..."

6

PTSD is very widespread in slaughterhouses.

3

The dietary choice should be made regarding its impact on a global scale (environmentally and socially) albeit the naysayers opposition for the greater population's sake in having a better life. As to this day it is factually and scientifically proved that veganism is the solution.

1

LDL Cholesterol caused diseases are killing millions of people every year in the western world, and a vegan diet would have prevented them.

1

As the technology improves, synthetic meat substitutes will inevitably become more economical, more nutritious, and better tasting than natural meat products.

9

Eating less (or no) meat is good for the environment.

Safety standards in the meat industry are particularly low. The meatpacking industry is particularly dangerous.

2

It's extremely beneficial for our environment (which is endangered right now).Look it up on Cowspiracy

Duplicate claim
"I think this claim just identifies a source rather than adding an argument. What does everyone else think?"

4

In a vegan world, species would not be as endangered anymore, some species would not go extinct.

6

There are and will be too many people on earth for everyone to eat meat.

3

We could stop world hunger or make food cheaper if we stopped eating meat. "We are currently growing enough food to feed 10 billion people." - Cowspiracy

4

A vegan diet is the most efficient way to use land. A vegan requires 1/6th of an acre for a year of food, a vegetarian needs three times that much, and a meat eater needs 18-fold more land than a vegan.

1

If all humans were vegan, society would be better off overall.

2

Veganism, unlike vegetarianism, stems from 20th century cultural movement in the West, i.e., Lebensreform, which in itself is not necessarily illegitimate. Nevertheless, forcing non-Western societies to adopt it (i.e. Westernization) is a form of neocolonialism and cultural imperialism.

1

Those with IBS often cannot tolerate gluten, most nuts, beans and legumes, foods high in insoluble fiber, or even at times soy products. This makes it nearly impossible to consume the daily protein requirement. A vegan diet would simply cause further health complications for individuals who require animal products to survive.

1

Veganism is extreme.

1

Legumes in general, and soybeans in particular negatively impact the thyroid and create issues with it's function (like goiter - 1, 2). Legumes is a primary source of protein in the vegan diet.

Human beings have an inherent right to privacy. Veganism cannot be adopted fully without infringing upon that right since it would have to be state mandated and enforced.

2

It is impossible to separate agricultural production from the use of animal manures, insect intrusion, and other interactions with animals. Keeping animal products off our plates is an artificial distinction.

9

Not everybody can be vegan. Some may encounter difficulties when eating too many fibers or vegetal proteine, and may be forced to resort to meat for their diet

Unsupported
"see comments"

Since coercion is not justified there is no way to make all humans stop eating meat.

1

Many humans, especially those in poverty, live in food deserts. They do not have easy access to the variety of vegetables, fruits, grains and supplements required to sustain a vegan diet as more privileged individuals do distance-wise. Vegan access will still be difficult logistically to work around if the world goes vegan.

18

Hunting is a viable method to control overpopulation and invasive animals. This is more environmentally sustainable and ethical for wildlife than not interfering with their population status.

8

As the only more or less commonly agreed benefit of veganism is morality, and even this is not undisputed, the claim that all humans should become vegan as justified as that all people are to follow a single religious teaching.

20

The reproductive strategy that farmers evolutionarily chosen for livestock (a.k.a. artificial selection) that these animals obliged to made them more successful than their predecessors (outliving them, with like cattle and chicken/turkeys) and perhaps any animal within their taxonomic class on Earth. This mutualistic symbiotic relationship is beautiful, benefits both humans and farm animals, and there is no reason to destroy it.

Since 17% of a diet's calories typically come from dairy and meat, and obesity affects a significant portion of the population, plus is on the rise in certain age groups, losing the calories from dairy and meat seems a logical choice in keeping a healthy weight. This will benefit civilization growth rather than harming it.

8

At present human demand for meat outweighs our ethical concerns surrounding the eating of meat. We should consider a global adoption of veganism only when we collectively feel that the ethical considerations outweigh our global demand for meat consumption.

2

It's not humans that you have to enforce the law on, it's the meat production industry. It could be made more ethical.

6

The non-industrialized production and use of dairy products (milk and eggs) is not innately exploitative or unethical because domesticated animals do not suffer, but are are rather fed, nurtured, and protected by the humans that raise them in a mutually beneficial process.

2

The argument presumes that plant life has no consciousness and is not harmed in the same way, but growing evidence suggests otherwise.

Duplicate claim
"check the comments"

12

Properly managed regenerative farming of livestock in brittle environments (those prone to desertification) is crucial for improving ecological systems and sequestering carbon. So even if we don't eat the livestock we need them on the land.
Holistic Management

6

Causing animals suffering, while regrettable, is not reason enough to deprive people of an entire category of food.

16

While there are more merits to being vegan, the proper statement should be "all humans ought to be vegan" as ultimately, what one eats is entirely their choice.

13

The development of human cognition and brain was greatly advanced in evolution as meat consumption increased (especially when cooked and pounded).

7

70% of child labor is in agriculture.

7

None of us would be here without meat. The abundance we have now to make vegan choices may not be around in the future. It may save our lives yet in case in times of famine/ration (such as a natural or nuclear disaster). People will not have choices then, just like they did not in the past.

4

Meat is not the only product from meat-industry, going vegan will affect farming practices, since meat by-products are also used as fertilizers as well as in other chemical production utilizing bones, fat, hair and hooves + horns (last 3 are major source of keratin) to mention a few. This will have a dramatic impact on many economies.

4

There would be severely less dishes that have meat as a key ingredient and thus would lead to a loss of dishes that have evolved over thousands of years, even those that are part of the culture of countries.

4

It's better for an animal to live a short life than no life at all, assuming any amount of life to be a net positive.

4

Crops' co-products and plants that cannot be eaten by humans can convert to animal feed.

Regarding the reduction of violence towards animals, veganism can never become the mainstream solution for the majority of humans because it simply conflicts too strongly with our omnivorous nature. Other solutions (such as cultured meats) are more viable since they don't require the same unintuitive changes in dietary behavior, thus reducing animal violence while also allowing the majority of humans to actually adopt it.

18

Veganism is not as ethical as other lifestyles.

18

Animals do not have similar levels of emotional comprehension or intelligence (1, 2) to humans. Therefore they are of less value.

3

To not eat meat or any other animal product for the reason of an evolutionary adaptation (pain) while still eating plants whom have also shown the "will" to survive through many adaptations (poison,...), because of the ease to empathise with one but not with the other is merely a form of tribalism. Not a virtue.

3

We may, one day, create synthetic meat that has more flavour, more nutrients and requires 0 animal deaths. But we are not there yet (all experimental artificial meats are less nutrient and have different taste), so we are still justified to seek the best nutrition possible, given how nutrition is important in to biology.

3

Some forms of farming treat animals extremely well, euthanize painlessly and help them live longer and healthier lives than in nature. Humans actually help more than take by protecting, curing, feeding, nurturing, and prolonging them/their offspring's lives in exchange for meat that they won't need or use after their death.

3

The evidence of this discussion suggests that a vegan diet rots the brain.

Unrelated
"See comments, flagging to get everyone's input :)"

4

The remaining livestock on farms if everyone became vegan right now would pose a serious issue. The reproduction of the herd wouldn't stop and the excess of animals would be very harmful to nature if they are released into the wild.

4

The move to veganism would cause mass unemployment as farming, beekeeping, meat processing, and many other jobs would end. This would cause massive poverty, much as the deindustrialisation has done already but on a larger scale.

2

Without animal protein, we wouldn't even have become human—at least not the modern, verbal, intelligent humans we are.

2

The simple truth is that human nature will never allow this to happen - too many people enjoy eating meat and animal by-produce and too many people survive on it for this to be a serious proposition whether it is scientifically sound or not.

4

The real issue is not about being vegan/omnivorous: it's about intensive breeding and industries of junk food. The Alps are full of farms and little companies that produce salame, cheese, etc. This it is 100 times more environmentally friendly and animal-life respectful than bigger industries that produce vegetable products. If the whole world became vegan it would just penalize little farms like these ones.

33

Vegan morality is inconsistent and hypocritical in valuing one life (animals) over another (plants, fungi, and microbes).

7

Studies around plants' feelings and senses, and our understanding of such topics is extremely limited; and our current point of view seems to be based on the complexity (1, 2, 3) or non-existence of a typical nervous system despite there still being stimuli in plants.

6

A statement Orwellian in nature. Freedom as a human being means we choose what we want to eat and when we want to eat it. To suggest that all humans should be vegan is perhaps the most ludicrous thing I've read on here all day, though that's just my opinion. Sure, there are cons to eating meat but a balanced diet that we've been using for millennia is natural and it always has been.

Duplicate claim
"See comments."

2

Perhaps ideally all humans should be vegan. But it is akin to asking "should humans stop being jealous?" Some conduct-because it is sociobiological, or innate-is beyond our capacity to change through an authoritarian measure.

Unrelated
"See comment, just flagging to get everyone's input!"

2

All humans should be pescetarians, not vegans.

Unsupported
"No source, no reasoning, no science or logic"

6

Certain forms of privately maintained animal rearing are not harmful, for instance keeping chickens in backyards.

3

People do not need to be vegan and people should have the right to choose.

7

Even though most people eat too much meat, the world doesn't need to go vegan to reduce the environmental impact.

4

Veganism is not just abstaining from eating meat but also not using anything which uses animal products. This is an unrealistic standard as animal based products are unavoidable.

3

The falling cost of laboratory grown meat will make the choice to not eat animals easier.

1

Properly managed animal farming can have huge benefits to biodiversity.

4

A balanced meal can't be obtained without all the nutrients provided by both animal and plant based food.

Duplicate claim
"Candidate for deletion because this claim repeats the parent claim without adding anything"

28

Humans probably should eat less meat, but this isn't an reason to stop eating meat altogether, especially if animals are ~~raised and~~ treated ethically (like free-range).

10

Captive animals only suffer when they are aware of their wild counterparts.

2

Many people with eating and nutritional-based disabilities may be unable to remove animal products from their diets.

6

If you were born in a agriculturally hostile territory you couldn't rely on food other than meat to sustain yourself.

7

A vegan diet is lacking numerous essential amino acids and vitamins necessary for long-term healthy living.

1

Farming animals is often the only means available for farmers, e.g. farmers use animals to store energy for harsh winters or where the terrain doesn't allow for intensive crop farming.

16

Monocrop agriculture to service vegan demand is an environmental catastrophe.

37

Without a top predator (like humans) to selectively cull the old and sick, entire populations (species grouping (like a herd) or the total population of ecosystems) might not survive (due to starvation, etc.).

3

Many cultures have deeply rooted, specific traditions with respect to foods and animal products. Imposing veganism imposes a western/dominant interpretation of animal wellbeing, ethical perspective, and relationship to food. This would worsen trends towards suppressing or eliminating nonwestern/nondominant cultures.

8

Many people gain substantial happiness from their non-vegan diet.

22

Consuming animal products is healthy.

8

Because we've been eating animal products for so long, we should not change to something different than the omnivore tendencies that worked for millennia.

Related Discussions



Are all humans born equal?

73 344 0 25 550

You wrote a suggestion 1d

If Scientifically Possible, Should Humans Become Immortal?

383 3.6k 1.5k 346 34.8k

abby42 replied to a suggestion 4h

Humans should act to fight climate change

912 12.1k 4.4k 415 60.5k

Xaver replied to a suggestion 12d

© Kialo 2020