



Election votes should only be cast as i-votes.

Pros	Cons
It has a greater reach.	Some people can only vote in person.
It'll prevent voter fraud.	No some people don't even vote unless they want to vote in a real building. Taking away the option of real votes (no matter how good i-votes are), will get society a lot less votes. Not to mention the corruption of only i-votes and how many hackers could change the vote of that within an hour.
It'll help update outdated voting methods.	It's susceptible to hacking.
This would create uniformity through nationwide standardization of how voting takes place.	There are privacy concerns.
Voters will have convenient access to information on the website <u>their they are</u> voting on, which they can utilize before and while casting a vote (like popups for information).	Implementation may disenfranchise voters.
Some areas are open to adopting i-voting.	It'll require an enormous infrastructure overhaul.
It's more environmentally friendly.	

Related Discussions

<p>Should Election Campaigns only be Funded by the Government?</p> <p>303 1.2k 799 103 4.9k</p> <p>Primate added a claim 24d</p>	<p>Should People Only be Allowed to Vote if they Pass a Certain Test?</p> <p>134 927 335 119 3.5k</p> <p>Incidental replied to a suggestion 14d</p>	<p>Should transgender personae only be performed by transgender people?</p> <p>92 538 193 60 2.2k</p> <p>Dearq commented on a claim 7d</p>
--	---	--



- My Kialo
- Explore
- Search
- Tour
- About

- Kialo Release Notes
- Careers
- Privacy Policy
- Terms of Service

- Help Center
- Contact Us
- Status

kialo For the public

kialo.edu For educators