

## Post: What's truthful and what's misleading in vegan critiques



Created by [Brittany Bunk](#) on 02-May-2021

 Discussion

 [Transition](#)



10



0



0



0



### Content

So many videos and articles talk about how animal farming is not as bad for animals, the environment, etc. as people make it out to be. However, it's pretty biased as they leave a lot out: from alternatives to minor details that show how it's simply not the case.

Like the claims about how vegan foods kills animals too doesn't even bring up vertical, indoor, aeroponic farming that harms animals even less than animal and outdoor vegan farming does.

Examples:

#### Eating less Meat won't save the Planet. Here's Why



for instance, with the methane:

truth: yes, there's a carbon/methane cycle

misleading:

- we create a cycle with plants, so we don't need cows to be a part of it
- this doesn't take into account how damaging these chemicals are when they're in the atmosphere and stay there - like methane takes a [decade](#) to degrade.
- This doesn't account for humans - after the CO2's absorbed by the plant that is all eaten and gone and the cow is slaughtered and eaten, humans are still



I can go on and on with a list, but there hasn't been one anti-vegan argument that really holds true. I mean the same for veganism in certain ways, but not all. If anyone finds anything that contradicts this, comment below.

## Comments

---

Be the first to comment

